

Aug. 24, 2014
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

**"The Hypocrisy of Sanity by Gross Income, Status and
Vanity"**

© Alan Watt Aug. 24, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Aug. 24, 2014 (Exempting Music and
Literary Quotes)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on August the 24th, 2014. First off I'd like to mention the ongoing incredible chemtrailing, or the experts call it, of course the ones involved in it, they're called Geoengineers. For those who bother to follow that kind of thing they've put out their latest report from the Geoengineering groups and so on, on *weather modification*, if they ever went ahead and did it that it is, of course, and the ethics involved. Ethics comes into everything today doesn't it? And people always, always, when it comes to propaganda, and those who rule over us and the techniques that they use, always use terminology which often means something completely different from what the ordinary people understand and therefore they're misled by it. In fact, they go back to sleep thinking, *oh it's ethical, ethics sounds good*, it's like being social, if you're a social person it's a good person. Then you end up going into socialism and you get a whole supposed science and belief system of how society should be run, and even down to killing off those folk who don't work and stuff like that,

eventually, you see. First they'll use different techniques to *get* lots of folk not to work and then they'll just get rid of them.

I don't care what things are called it's always the same system. But it's fascinating to me to listen to the experts, as they say *experts*, awfully well paid experts, with lifelong grants of course, and getting applause from all the other people in academia, all their peer group, to go along there. It's almost like the Golden awards and different awards they give at film festivals and Hollywood, and they call themselves honorables and esteemed and all that kind of thing. And any person who truly believes he's an expert *in anything* is a fool. Because in any science of course all you have is premises and theories basically. Very often in fact, things that actually work, physically work, for the purpose, like machines are designed to do, very often they're not quite certain why certain things do work, they've got all these theories about it; it's like electricity for instance. You'll find the same thing with these people who approve of each other and are very polite to each other, because they're all on the gravy train basically. And academia is *full* of them, and all the societies and agencies that they belong to, right up into the political realms too, and committees that sit and advise presidents and prime ministers on how we should all behave, etc.

So ethics can mean something totally different in actual fact than what you think it really is. You've been trained to think that people who are into bioethics are somehow in there to look after the good of all life. But no, economic factors come into it, and all these things come into it and so you have the end-of-life programs and so on and so on, and should we withdraw food. Even the Catholic Church is getting into that now, on what's really ethical. How can something be right for thousands of years and then suddenly, well, change a little bit, not *quite* right. Well, if it's not quite right.... It's either the same or it's different, it's that or it's not that, right? So you can't just throw something that's always right out the window and say, well you know certain things are ethical to help the person along to the end. And that goes through all of the nonsense that people can delude themselves into believing, when they're involved especially, in the decision-making at the top, including bioethics committees. They never question themselves, their incredibly fat paychecks, the esteem they get which goes to their ego of course, and if you had a true debate going on, for instance, or even lecturing going on and then questions and answers at the end of it, in academia, you would have vehement arguments going on. But it doesn't happen. It doesn't happen because as I say it's like a Hollywood Oscar award or something like that and they won't rock the boat. In other words, truth can never be told and honesty cannot be spoken of in the so-called even bioethics committees, that are involved in every aspect of our lives, not just physical by the way, but mental health as well, for those who don't know that.

I've often mentioned that every society in every era has its *form*, the whole *form* of society, its belief structure shaped by those who rule it, and those who rule you will always rationalize, eventually, after a generation or two, why they're beneficent and why they're doing it for the good of all, although they're always living way, way above those at the bottom that do all the work. So human beings basically are awful hypocrites and we *can* delude ourselves into doing and going along with things, especially when it's approved by governments, and the governments therefore dictate to society, society therefore will go along with it too because that's the reality that's been given to them.

So today we're into the age of Geoengineering and chemtrailing of course, because it's more than just altering the weather. There have been too many studies done, I've gone through many of the talks in the past, and the reports from their different lectures, going into the fact - and also I've put out the links if you go into cuttingthroughthematrix.com, go through the links, or you can go through alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and you'll find them there. Because it's important to understand some of the history of it and what has been published in the past that gives us *inklings* of what's really going on. I've gone into the fact that many scientists, some of whom were even on YouTube, were even involved in this even in the 70s and 80s, and their initial program was to find ways of using electromagnetic energy and impulses and waves and frequencies to alter brain waves in humans, not just at a small distance locally but on a national scale, and some of them talked rather openly about it. They didn't say if they'd achieved it of course, naturally, because they're still under the official secrets act, *but* they did say that they were involved in that. We do know that they needed to spray the atmosphere, heavily, with various particulates, generally metallic particulates, to make it more conducive to electromagnetic energy, like a circuit you might say, and it would travel long distances at specified frequencies. And they could also alter the weather at the same time.

I've gone back to even Teller who came up with the H-bomb idea. He also came up with the idea of spraying the atmosphere for weather warfare, but also for using electro chemical frequencies in the air, it would all work together, the chemicals and the electricity, the impulses. We're in that age today. Brzezinski mentioned it as well in his book **Between Two Ages**. He said EVENTUALLY WE COULD USE, he called it, TECHNOTRONIC WARFARE TECHNIQUES ACROSS WHOLE NATIONS, IT COULD MAKE PEOPLE AGGRESSIVE OR PASSIVE, whatever they want to depending on the actual frequency they used. There's been so many studies done on the temporal lobe... the emotional state is based there, basically often too, and even your rational thinking in situations can be affected by altering the frequency in the temporal lobe. So, everybody's using cell phones today, you don't need to use a cell phone because you're getting bombarded by the waves, and the big boys are awfully excited about it.

We're being studied, as always, but more minutely than ever with the techniques available today to see the effects on the general populations. And with certain countries that have national healthcare systems, and with the Internet, and then the mandatory reporting, giving access by doctors and hospitals to the government on a daily basis, it's so, so fast and automatic today, then they can keep tabs on the physical effects as well of people who are succumbing to the barium, the strontium, the aluminum oxide, etc., all the different particulates they're using in this heavy, heavy Geoengineering program. It's quite something. So they know what they're doing, and of course the bioethics committees are involved too, in deciding what's enough and what's maybe excessive and all these moral judgments, etc, what is the acceptable loss, you might say, in the population... *to save the world*, you understand, as they'll rationalize it to themselves.

I often wonder you know, because again the hypocrisy of human beings is astonishing. It truly is astonishing. Because again, you're trained to think *by* the incredible propaganda, that's been so *well*-established in history, but it took off

like a rocket with the invention of the radio, initially, and then the cinema, early cinema, even the black and white ones they were using, the silent ones they would use for propaganda purposes for World War I, and Edward Bernays was involved in that. Then it went into propaganda for World War II with the talkies and all that, incredible propaganda, incessant propaganda that was spewed out, and the folk got caught up. That was the intention, you caught them up in their daily routines to train them, to train them to be *rationalized* for everything, you trained them for *behavior modification*. And you trained them, too, that their lives were in *imminent danger* if the government wasn't given total control, and therefore people readily accepted the fact the total control was already taken by the government, they had it all. When they even shot farmers, for instance, in Britain who refused to comply with certain orders from government on how to farm, what to farm and all the rest of it, and even how much to produce by the way, often on unusable land, it couldn't be used, they would kill them. So the people who did the killing of course would rationalize their part in it too, saying *he's a bad man, he's not going along with the war effort*, and that was the police and everybody else involved in it.

So again, getting back to the basic premise, human beings are incredible hypocrites. We truly are. We can delude ourselves... *delude* ourselves into believing anything if we benefit personally, from either the status of our position, and that goes for all those in academia, and those who get the most applause because they've been made like superstars with their names getting put up there. I've gone into the fact that the whole culture creation industry, that creates *stars* out of nobodies, also has a branch - and I've mentioned who they were and so on in the past programs; again, go into the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com - and how they create scientists to be stars too, because they then, they're so brilliant, obviously, we're told they're so brilliant and we stand in awe of them, and that whenever they say something it must be true, *because I'm so stupid, I'd never think of that myself, and therefore it must be true*, and therefore you will take their opinion on something *very quickly* and go along with it. These are all professional *techniques* of, I call it, herd management, because the elite initially called it herd management, and we're the herd, you see.

But it's so true, and I've mentioned it before in many talks, how people *become* their jobs. They become their jobs and the job can dictate what kind of *personality transformation* you will have according to the job that you take on. You think about those in authority. Those in authority right down to civil servants or, say, inspectors for land or whatever, they become what their job is. They're paid by the taxpayer, remember that, number one; they are paid more than the average person who actually, say, does the digging on a farm, very often. But their job is to get more off that farmer because they personally will benefit too, a pay raise is going to come down the pike if you can get more taxes out of the farmer, and that goes along with all sorts of housing and everything else, and areas in societies too, not just the farms. So that person then will, if a person doesn't comply with something and some, say, new ruling is unjust, they will go along with it regardless, because they become their job, and they're hypocrites. Rather than say, *this isn't really right*, they'll do the nasty. Policemen will do it too. Because they become their job.

During their training and eventually their career they will lose the part of humanity that says, *well I've done that too*, whatever it happens to be that they're

criticizing or booking somebody for or whatever, or giving them a ticket. They've done it too, you see. Well, how can you hand tickets out for things that you've done as well? Isn't that complete hypocrisy? But you see, it's okay if they do it because they knew they were doing it and they'll say, *well you did it, you know, and you knew it was really wrong, I did it but I was either young then...* or whatever it was. There's always an excuse to rationalize what they do, because they're getting paid for it, they got a status that gives them extra perks and respect, which they wouldn't get in ordinary life, you see. Soldiers get the same thing, and they do what they're ordered to do. All their personal reservations about is this situation right or wrong that I'm in at the moment, and being told to do this, they'll do what they're told to do.

Obedience to authority, of course, and I've gone through the different experiments that have been done in the past in the US in universities to do with that very subject, and how they will obey any command, most folk, if someone in authority tells them to do it. Because in a psychological process, they transfer the guilt onto the person that gives the order, so they're like the robot that simply pulls the trigger you might say and shocks the person on the other side of the machine, that kind of thing. That's a standard trait within humanity.

But at the top of all of this is the economic factor as well, remember. Because how can you possibly talk about being altruistic or doing what's right for society, and the good of all, etc., if your paycheck is so damned fat, up at the top of the bioethics committees or medicine or whatever it happens to be, and you have all these acclamations given to you and all these awards given to you, because they love to give each other awards, and meanwhile you wonder why patients aren't doing so well when they're discharged and back into the same societies. Such hypocrisy! Because they will never touch the fact we're all living in a bogus money system, where it doesn't matter even for someone who has saved a few pennies, it's devalued the next day by people you will never meet all saying *it's market forces*, a thousand billion excuses, rather than say *it's totally corrupt and it's rigged, and always was*. You see. So these things are never touched within organizations where folk become their job and they're so proud to tell you what they do.

I used to be amazed, because I came from Britain, for instance, to Canada, and I went through the States as well. What astonished me was in Britain you generally visited people *just to see people*. And if what they worked at was mentioned it came out because it was something to do with something else they'd mention, it wasn't the main object. People in the US and Canada right off the bat would ask you what you worked at. In fact, I was a bit stumped as they'd say, what do you do? Well, I do lots of things, you know, I do lots of things. It's like saying, well I sit and read books or I go for walks and you know. It doesn't occur to you right off the bat that that's what you work at, that's what they were referring to. And there's definitely often culture clashes between different groups traveling to what they think are very much the same societies as the ones they've left. So you can imagine how it would be for people of totally, vastly different cultures coming to the West, for instance, and having this cultural shock. And there is such a thing as cultural shock for some of them.

So we're managed, the bottom line is we're managed on so many different levels. And since World War II especially, they learned so much from World War I in their

techniques of mobilizing nations for war, and they really had perfected it by World War II that George Orwell even got his idea for **1984** from all the propaganda that was incessantly pumped out during World War II, *we're all in it together*, you know, Great Britain has made so many thousands of tanks this week and so many Spitfire aircraft and so many bombs and all the rest of it, and he brought that into his book **1984**. He also worked for the propaganda department within the BBC where he said in his own writings that his job was to convince the British people, who were under incredible rationing, and they had a lot of substitutions for food and so on, he was to convince them that the garbage they had, again, experts come on and tell them, for these menu shows and recipe shows, the garbage they were being taught to eat was pretty well inedible, but his job was to convince them it was *wonderful*. And he went along with it too by the way. And he got paid for it. And he never said he was ashamed for doing it.

So in other words, is it ethically right to lie to people, when those who run your country have decided, and again it's obedience to authority isn't it, someone above you says it's okay, go ahead and do this, we'll pay you to do it, then you can do it? And yet we have these farces like the Nuremberg trial where the common answer as to why they went and killed prisoners and so on was because they were ordered to. What's the difference? What's the difference? How can you live, and how are we *all* supposed to go through life, conning ourselves? See, someone puts the con onto you but it really is up to you whether you want to accept the con and go along with it and be fooled. It's an easy way out isn't it? Because once again, it's obedience to authority. *I suspect this is a con, but you're supposed to go along with it so I'll go along with the con*. And yet we're told to be truthful and honest and all the rest of it. It's so schizophrenic isn't it? But again, these are the techniques that are used on the people which *make* them schizophrenic to an extent. And I don't mean that in a psychotic way, I'm talking about that in their bizarre behavior you'll see busting out all over from young people and different groups and so on. We can all be modified and altered according to the wishes of the state at that particular time. It's quite easy to do.

Getting back to George Orwell. I was thinking, the technique, as I say, during World War II especially, with... I mean you understand, in two years, just in two years of World War II the Ministry of Agriculture churned out more than 2000 propaganda documentaries for the farmers, *just* for the farmers. That was just in two years. Remember, they went on from 1939 to 1945, and afterwards by the way, for rationing and all that and excessive food production. You can imagine the bombardment, and every farmer would attend these things and be caught up in the propaganda. It's very simplistic in a way. It's very Orwellian, simplistic propaganda. What they're telling you is, you're a good person *if* you do all that we're saying to do, you're a *good* person. So even the *good* society of the time is ordered completely from above... what is a good society, what's a good farmer, what's a good this, that and the other, fireman, you name it, what is a good person. That's always the same. Now, if goodness is an innate quality within peoples then why should we modify it? at all?

But again, you're in a commercialized economic system; it's never touched by anybody, especially those in academia, it's never touched by them. Is that you can't, you can't be honest about anything unless you address the problems, all the problems. And the big one is, really, what are we here for? Then you go into the spiritual areas because there's a spiritual side of human nature, in all societies, all

societies, in all times, and that's the purpose of life and so on. In the so-called *arrested* civilizations, what the West or so-called ultra-civilizations mean by that, is that societies that make all that they need to exist upon and have no commerce as such, as we think of it as commerce for profit, and they're completely self-sustaining, and look after each other, we call them *primitive* and *arrested*, you see, even though they could survive for another million years, if nothing else happens to the world, just as well as they have in the past. *We can't because as Plato said, THE MORE ADVANCED THE CIVILIZATION, THE EASIER IT IS TO FALL.* It's all *interdependent* and woven because it's so far removed from self-preservation, from the bottom level, meaning, you make your own food and you go hunting yourself or whatever it happens to be; you're dependent on others to do a lot of that for you. And we push papers and punch buttons on computers, you see, today.

So the technique is to get you wrapped up in propaganda; that gets you on the role. Why do you think since 9/11 we've had an incessant, *incessant* read this, read that, read this, read that, here's the news, news, news, news, news. Why is that? Why is that? Especially when you read the wars, and little wars, that all the countries have been involved in, right through the 20th century *in between* the major wars, the ongoing wars and so on, that were kept fairly quiet, for many decades in fact, why is it suddenly important that we're all involved in terrorism, terrorism, terrorism, terrorism? And it's called terrorism because this is the century of change, remember, I've gone through this in great detail; the century of change is where all the big plans *for* the good society, and that's defined by those at the top, have to be done, including trans-humanism and all of that, and the training of the rest of society in different stages to go along with trans-humanism. Life extension for some, but not for everyone, because it will depend on how important you are *to* society. See, that's all been discussed, and let out on occasion, to familiarize yourself with the concept of it. That's how you're trained, you get *gradually* familiar without really thinking or reasoning through it, so that you're ready for it... *Well, I guess they have to do that, but I'm not really worth enough to save at the end...* sort of thing, and so on it goes.

So we're caught up with this madness of propaganda, incessant. I often say there's *nothing* you can believe on the media to do with the wars that's factual, actually completely factually true. *Some* parts may be true but the causes, the reasons, victories, defeats, you have to take with a pinch of salt, especially when you have so many agencies and counter agencies all working for creating information, and *disinformation*, that you can't take anything and say this is absolutely true. Again, why are you being caught up in it? Well, the reason I've mentioned before, was an article, it was put out in one of the military magazines, the main military magazine in the US, on *perpetual war*. It went through that whole scenario, that FROM NOW ON IT WILL BE PERPETUAL WAR. Now, when they say perpetual war it's not just localized to certain areas, it's *everything*, it's called *total* war. You better understand that.

The concept of total war came out in about the 1920s and 30s. They had already tried it to an extent in World War I with limited aerial bombing; they didn't have the aircraft to have big, big, big bombers, etc. in World War I, and they used a lot of balloons too, zeppelins etc. But in World War II that was all changed. And in the 30s they were training in Sandhurst Military Academy in Britain the concept of total war. Even the ones who became the masters and the top generals in Hitler's army came over to Sandhurst to get taught this stuff. Because we always train the

best enemies, you see. The concept of total war was, *now ALL society must be in it together, all of them, every man, woman and child must give their whole heart and mind, their spirit if you like, to the war and believe everything they're told.* But not only that, you were then put down as a *participant* in the war and therefore you were a *legitimate target* to be taken out. That's why during the war all sides would bomb industrial areas but also, Britain especially, with the US, bombed the areas where workers for factories were all housed, massive, massive places. That's the concept of total war.

Total war means that you also obey authority without question, without question at all. And you're trained through, say, a World War II scenario, in that concept. As I say, farmers who would not try to maximize the growth on their land, even if a lot of the land was unusable, it didn't matter, the government would take it from you. They would steal your land, but they would call it requisitioning it, you see. But they'd steal your land. And some farmers, just like the Soviet Union did, some farmers would put up a fight, and they would kill the farmers. That happened in the Soviet Union when farmers would not hand their farms over to the state; and there's old footage, you can see them going around and having these shootouts and killing the farmers, and throwing grenades and stuff like that. You see. You have no rights in total war. Now, it's far better if you can *coerce* the public, and most of them will always go along with authority for the *fear* of retribution if they don't comply.

So now we're in the age of total war, perpetual war, and so many things have to be achieved during this whole period you really can't cover all of it in the short time that I have here. But it's psychological, it's genetic change, it's attitudinal changes on so many different areas that have to become the normal, the authorized normal, to prepare you for the next step, you see, and then the next step too. It's unending, perpetual war, you see, and under the guise of terrorism everyone is a suspect. If you disagree with certain government policies you're now called antigovernment, which is a term loosely translated from the Soviet term. But basically, that would mean, really, in a democratic society, that the opposition party was antigovernment if they complained about what the other party was doing, right?

So when you see nonsense being spoken, it doesn't make sense, *non-sense*, you see, then you're being told nonsense. Bull shit in other words. Rubbish. But we go along with it don't we? Because most folk don't question things. We're looked upon as animals, and unfortunately the more, in a sense, secular we become, and more religious we become towards the *scientific* elite, because it's a religion in itself too, and that's to overtake of course all other religions eventually, is *science*, then the less we question anything, because it's *scientific*. It obviously ends the argument, *oh it's science, you know.* Really? Yeah.

And they got statistics to show anything that they want to show; it doesn't mean it's true. If you put five different people out to do a survey on, say, one place in Africa for instance, and lots of folk do this for universities, they get grants all the time, everybody's living on grants these days, living well that is, and if you told them a task, they'd all come back with different statistics on the same area. And those at the top take the ones they prefer because it fits in with the agenda, and the social agenda and the plannings they have. In fact, there are whole departments dedicated on how to fudge statistics to impress the person who is

being given the statistics, generally the public. For instance, they can say 50% of the people said this to a survey and the other 50% said no. But they only asked 2 people. There are so many ways that they use this, all the time, even in the polling data they use it too. Because people, unfortunately, like the herd instinct, they tend to try and vote... *Well the majority are voting that way so I'll go that way*, simply because the majority are voting that way, you see. There's so many sad truths about humanity because, I think we've all been damaged a long time ago, to be honest with you.

You'd have a harder time fooling the so-called person who is in, again, a so-called arrested civilization, a primitive culture. You'd have a harder time to con that person than those in the so-called civilized societies, far, far more difficult, you couldn't pull one over on them. You couldn't do it. So it's a sad thing, that, as I say, once you've been trained in an *artificial* structure it's far easier to keep the cons going, and to even alter them, until you're facing 180 degrees years later, where something that was right and wrong in one era becomes the opposite in the other era. That can happen so quickly today. How can it be right and then suddenly wrong? How can it be? How can it be...? If you're using logic, how can it be? How can it be?

And when you see something changing, from the top, that's been advocated from the top, something that was right and is now wrong, you have to really question, why? Who gains from this? What is the real purpose of this change? But very few folk do. You're prompted and goaded to go along, by the behaviorists, that work in the think tanks, that advise all government departments and all the rest of it, and media by the way. I mean, media have top people in editorial sections that go off to these functions all the time, run by government and professionals from academia, who advise them on how to put things across to the general public. Years ago in fact, remember, I think it was Ted Turner, it might have been his groups of newspapers and so on, said they were going to start to put more positive things in the newspaper rather than the negative things because the economy had been basically plundered and given off to China. Folk were despondent, things looked very gloomy for the future, and therefore they would give you sort of happy stuff and lots of trivia. Well, that's been the format since. Now we get basically what we're supposed to believe about wars going on and so on, and be caught up in that, but they offset it by this very childish technique of giving you simplicity and trivial stories of little ha-ha things and so on. Which is no different than how they put it across in, say, World War II in the old Pathe newsreels they used to give out. It was called Pathe News, it was one of the big ones, the authorized ones, nothing *but* propaganda came out from there.

I should also mention that I'd often go through the media and the articles they were dishing out by the bucketfuls for us over the years, I'd do them on live shows, for years. But the reason I would do them was to point out the reasons why this was happening or that was happening, or what you were supposed to believe by this and here's the other side of something. I'd explain it to you rather than just read it off and say this is fact. So you can go on forever that way of course. And it's not liked by those in authority if you do that. It's not liked at all, if you can explain the whys of things, with facts, again, real facts that you're using, as ammunition you might say, real facts which you could check up for yourselves. I always tell people, check it out for yourself and see what you think, see what you make of this. And don't stick with one story about the other side of things, look at

the history of things and how it led up to something. You'll find so many contradictions with the authorized versions it's astonishing, absolutely astonishing in fact, really, astounding! But we're taught, again, to be caught up in something. So I'm glad I don't have to just parrot off the news and explain things to you anymore because it's so boring to me. And really, I shouldn't have to explain that because if we were all thinking properly we could figure these things out instantly for ourselves. But most folk take the news, run with it, and have an emotional response to it, and join one side or another. That's *how* the technique works, it's so simple.

You have to stand back and always say, what's to be gained? ...and who would gain from the belief in the story? ...what's the real objective of things? It hasn't changed, as I say, and especially in the media, since World War II, this incredible use of the media, *all* media, for propaganda purposes. They also have outlets there for those who would be potential anarchists, for instance, to follow as well, which lead them around in circles; it kind of diffuses them to an extent and that gets rid of that element within society. There's nothing been overlooked by *the* authority, as it's often been called by those in control and those who helped set up the system that we're living in at present, many of whom are long dead actually, who set up the culture, what we'd be taught, trained to believe and all the rest of it, and the changes that we would experience in our lives. They had it all worked out like a step-by-step plan, right down to dates, where *this* would be now the new normal and *that* would be introduced as the new normal, and by X amount of years *that* introduction would *have become* the normal, you see, you wouldn't have to keep on backing it up. It would be the standardized normal for people.

So, the elite realized a long time ago, and they verbalized this after World War I and then again after World War II, the ones who ran and who were brought in to help set up the future cultures, for the good of the elite, naturally, the ruling elite. They brought IQ levels into it, all of this kind of thing in, eugenics, because *their own survival is of paramount importance*. But they brought in these experts to talk about how to manage the general populations through all these changes that they would eventually introduce to us, and how we would be trained to accept it as normal. They even had it worked out, and they're pretty good with their predictions, down to statistics of how much of a percentage would accept it readily and how many they'd have to work on a bit more, right down to the indoctrination of children, and how they could perfect indoctrination techniques for children, going as far back as experimental schools in the 1920s and what those children would grow up to believe, and how their behavior, from then on, would be dictated by their early indoctrinations. It's very, very true, it does work, for most people, and it's sometimes often difficult for people to break out of and think for themselves.

But what the elite always did, and those advisers that were brought in after World War II, said, THEY WERE SO HAPPY TO SEE HOW THEY COULD TAKE OVER A WHOLE SOCIETY FOR WARTIME PURPOSES AND MODIFY THE BEHAVIORS AND THE BELIEFS OF EVERYONE BY SCIENTIFIC TECHNIQUES. But they knew that in a democratic society, a *truly* democratic society, those techniques would be less effective and may not work at all. Because in a truly democratic society *all* varied opinions would be valid, you'd be allowed to *voice* them, in *all* media, and they would *all* be valid. In a truly democratic society you wouldn't train the public into shunning people that won't be politically correct and be updated

with the new normals and so on. You wouldn't ostracize them, shun them and say that they're bad, for instance. And we've been trained in lots of these techniques, in recent times too, it's very evident; it's still going on. But in a truly democratic society that wouldn't work because those that you're trying to condemn would be allowed to speak out just as vocally as any other group, you see, and they would not be shunned, or made to seem disingenuous, or ridiculed, in any way at all.

So therefore true democracy wouldn't work. I've gone through some of the history of the Club of Rome, the big think tank that was set up by other big foundations, that run most of the think tanks in the world, and how they set up the Club of Rome to deal and tackle with these issues of how they would *use* the *pretense* of democracy as a cover, a sham if you like, because it's no good if folk don't think they're free, it's hard to manage folk then. But to make them think that they're free, and in reality they wouldn't be free, they would be enslaved all the time, especially the mind. The mind is the first to be enslaved; if you enslave the mind then everything else follows quite easily. Therefore, A TRULY DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY, said the Club of Rome back in the 70s, WOULD NEVER WORK, for creating this utopic vision, on behalf of those with the high IQs, supposedly, because they're always boasting about their high IQs in academia, and the elite that they serve, those who ran the whole business and moneyed side of things, the psychopaths, the truly good psychopaths, you know; so they said it would never work.

Because they were so impressed by how the Soviet system, that abolished all ideas of democracy, or any other system competing with it, by *force*, by slaughter, mass slaughter, millions slaughtered, could get things done so fast and quickly. And the same with the Nazi government when it first came out too. They applauded it, in all the different magazines, on how Hitler had got the whole country on its feet so quickly after being punished for World War I and paying out most of its money into reparations forever, forever, ever, that was the idea. Even writers at the first Versailles Convention said that if they didn't do this properly and made Germany pay for all the war and the losses incurred to all the different countries that opposed them, they'd be in a constant state of economic depression, poverty, hunger and so on, so they'd have to fight their way out of it, there was no other way they could recover for themselves. And that happened. That happened. So they were impressed by Hitler's tremendous effort within a few years and how he could mobilize, again, using psychology and the technique of controlling the masses, to get them back on their feet so quickly. And he also tore up the debt, and issued their own currency so it was debt-free and things like that. But they were impressed by the way that he could get the public to obey him, and worship him in fact. Very impressed how fast. Now, they could never do that in a democratic society, a truly democratic society.

But even, again, don't forget this very vital point as well. Even in a truly democratic society, if there was ever such a thing, which I don't think there ever could be, you'll always have disaffected people who have a chip on the shoulder anyway, regardless of their social position, or even if they're in a higher economic income, you'll always get those, that certain faction that simply want to destroy. And you will also have the psychopathic element, who can smell which way the wind is going, see which is going to be the winning team, where the support will come from, the general public, they'll give it to them, and they get into it right away and then they rule it and run it, you see. That's what happens in history.

What we miss in what we *think* is a democratic society, what we miss very *easily*, and commonly, is the fact that we're trained to see dictators, one guy with a uniform on, with other guys with uniforms, but he's the main guy with the uniform on, you see. We don't see it with the business suits and the varied faces we see, in a Parliament for instance or a democracy, we don't see the fact it has changed, we don't see that it's changed, and don't think of it as being totalitarian.

The elite have always said, for a functioning society, how much freedom do you give the people, you see, and still keep some form of social order? And the anarchists will immediately rebel against just that. But the fact is, you have to have some form of common structure or it would fall to pieces and there would be chaos and people would be stealing from each other and killing each other, etc. You have to have a basic there, a basic social structure. In earlier societies, in European societies and so on, there was a common social structure. It was a very simplistic one. But people lived in small communities, and they were, in the early days, self-sustaining like the so-called arrested civilizations. They were self-sustaining. They made their own tools; there was a blacksmith here and all the rest. Everything kind of worked together. But it was small and the profit motive wasn't like it is today, sky high, greedy and corrupt, you see. So it can only work perhaps in that kind of system.

And today's system, personally, I can't see how it can be possibly rectified. Because if you remember, some of the top economists came out a few years ago and said, GREED IS GOOD. And that's what they're teaching in economics at universities, *greed is good*. So we're all suffering from *greed is good* today with the whole massive feeding group above us, feeding on all of us, expanding all the time with bureaucracies and so on, who are living so well compared to the average person. They don't have the mental breakdowns that lower society has when their taxes go up and the cost of living is going through the roof for basic essentials and so on. Because their pay and their pensions are index related to the cost of living, automatically, all the time, so they don't suffer, and they can't get fired from a job like you can, you see.

If you have that kind of structure which doesn't have its consequences, look out, look out because total corruption will rule. If you can't fix the corruption *within* the very structure that rules over you, it's over, isn't it? And the hypocrisy, as I say, that's within basic human nature, when you can rationalize what you're doing, which may be wrong, for the so-called *greater good*, you know, in other words for total social control, it may be wrong but you'll go along with it for your paycheck and your status and your social approval from your own peer group. You can lie to yourself as much as you want, I mean it's all wrong.

I can remember cops back in Britain, years ago, totally different from the pre-Thatcherite era, where you weren't scared to go up and talk to them, as children, you know, or adults for that matter. And you'd ask them directions and that, they were awfully polite to you and they were nice to you. But they also would pound the beat, they got to know the people, you know them. Often they'd let kids off with minor things because they knew it was a childish thing and it wasn't severe and they'd just say, *you know son, this can happen to you* and so on. And I knew cops that did that. And I've known cops in Canada that have told me that this is what they did. Some of them liked their jobs in the country, for instance, they got to know a lot of the youngsters and would keep them on the *straight and narrow*.

They were kind of like father figures, a lot of them. Not now. Not now.

In fact, I can remember in Toronto when I first came I had to get directions and I just said, oh there's cops, I'll ask them. They were kind of taken aback and on the defensive when I approached them. Because it was a different mentality altogether, completely different mentality. Now it's a lot worse. It's a lot worse because they're all out for promotion too now; that's the name of the game. And when they're told to get more and more tickets out there for road offenses or whatever it happens to be, because the local government wants more cash, or the city government wants more cash brought in, and that's all come out in the past... Let's not pretend anymore, they actually do these big schemes to bring in extra cash at times. The cops go along with it, so it's a form of taxation. Now, the cops obviously know that, otherwise they'd be doing the same thing *all* the time, if it was so bad they'd be doing it all the time. But they have these special blitzes, as they call it, to bring more cash in.

I can remember years ago when the city of Toronto cops were going on a sort of work-to-rule, that's what they were doing, the city was going through all these different antics to get them back on the job. Because they said they'd lose, oh it was massive amounts, many thousands of bucks a week on fines and tickets and all the rest of it. They were factoring that in, so-called crime, they were factoring crime, the profit from crime, on tickets, into their budget. Now when you can start factoring *that* into your budget, and since they always want more cash, they started factoring more and more every year into their budget, even though they're supposed to live within their budget from their taxation. Hypocrisy runs rampant, doesn't it, in this whole system? And most folk don't question it at all. And we won't mention or even discuss the mess we're often all in, because of the hypocrisy, as people *become* their jobs.

Now remember that all societies are basically the same, they use the same techniques. They study each other, in the modern world, they see what works for those in power, those *really* in power that is, and they use all the modern sciences, as they come along, and technologies, in the same fashion. There's no exemption to this, at all, unless it's a small, very small country that can't afford all the technologies. The rest of them are all the same. The techniques that are used upon the people are all well studied and shared and used and implemented across the board.

So we have lots of dilemmas on our hands basically as a people today, and for the future of tomorrow as well. It depends what freedom is, freedom of thought, speaking, or whatever it happens to be, and of course the stability of the speaker, because there's lots of radical folk who are maybe even unstable, of course, and that exists as well. There's many factors involved. And it's very difficult, as I say, to keep a sanity in this age. I mentioned that many, many years ago, THE MOST DIFFICULT THING YOU'LL HAVE - that was from 9/11 onwards, which I knew was the coming propaganda and the agenda for the century of change, I said - WILL BE TO HOLD ONTO YOUR SANITY AS WE GO THROUGH ALL OF THIS. It's very difficult. There's not so many folk that can really do it and retain a form of calmness in them. They get caught up in the *emotive* qualities that are generated by the topics they're given as news, for instance, that kind of thing. And if you do that you'll miss the point of what's behind it, what it's really going to, etc., and why is it being done.

Now remember too folks, that I rely upon you to keep me ticking along here. You can get the books and you can get discs from me at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and all the other websites I have under the cuttingthroughthematrix group. And you can order them; it tells you how to order them. You can also donate. Because I don't make a business out of this. I'm not selling you any fancy products to make you live forever or anything else. I'm simply giving you information which is a form of life science you might say, because the science of life is thinking. Without thinking, real thinking that is, it's all over, you're not your own person at all, you're someone else's, you're a creation of someone else's technique, often many folks' technique. But that's the way it is.

So you can help me out too and help me to get along here because I've got so much more to do. This year I've been working hard on other things, and things which I'm simply trying to catch up on too, that I've postponed for years, even basic things I had to attend to. And I'm trying to fix things around here; everything around here is just never-ending too, very, very important things. You get one crisis after another, it's never-ending. I'm sure you know what I'm talking about, you're all going through similar things too. So if you can send a buck here or there... And for the other hosts too that are constantly repeating the stuff I put out there, it's nice to get a mention once in a while, but very few actually do that; it's a pity. Because it would certainly help me out of this hole that I am in. Because as I say, I don't push... As a business I don't push myself, I don't give you a lot of propaganda *for* myself. I just give you information which is from thinking basically, really thinking, and the proper way to do it, at least asking the right questions.

And the Geoengineering that goes on, we're all getting it of course, because this is to be perpetual from now on. In fact, in that recent study put out, or debate that's going on right now in fact, about the Geoengineers... There's no debate at all. It's a propaganda exercise really. They're all getting grants and have been for years for being involved in the spraying, as they pretend that they're going to do it one day. And they say now, *if* they ever started doing it, they couldn't stop doing it because *chaos would result in the weather, chaos*. Well, we're getting chaos with them *doing it*, folks, and we know that too. But there's their big con right there. Once they start every government will have to sign on, that you hand all the responsibility for future preservation of life on the planet to *them*. Oh what a beautiful racket that is, isn't it?

Well, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

Ancient Religions
and History MP3

CDs

CDs:

Part 1 (1998) and

Part 2 (1998-2000)

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1"
Esoterica and ...TIME"

& "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,